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ABSTRACT

This paper estimates a fractional integration model with non-linear deterministic trends for the inflation rates of five African countries. The results indicate that non-linearities are present in the case of Angola and Lesotho, but not in Botswana, Namibia and South Africa. Moreover, the degrees of differentiation are higher in the latter group of countries.
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1. Introduction

This paper estimates a fractional integration model with non-linear deterministic trends for the inflation rates of five African countries. A number of studies have argued that inflation is a fractionally integrated series, with a differencing parameter that is significantly different from zero and unity. For instance, Backus and Zin (1993) found a fractional degree of integration using US monthly data; Hassler (1993) and Delgado and Robinson (1994) provided evidence of long memory in the Swiss and Spanish inflation rates respectively; Baillie, Chung and Tieslau (1996) examined monthly post-World War II CPI inflation in ten countries, and found evidence of long memory with mean-reverting behaviour in all countries except Japan. Similar results were reported by Hassler and Wolters (1995), Baum, Barkoulas and Caglayan (1999) and Boutahar and Jouini (2005).

However, the failure of such studies to take into account possible structural breaks could vitiate their findings (Diebold and Inoue, 2011; Granger and Hyung, 2004; etc.). In particular, Lobato and Savin (1998) showed that the existence of breaks may lead to spurious findings of long-memory properties, and Engle and Smith (1999) proposed a model with a mean shift and fractional integration. In a more general non-linear context, van Dijk et al. (2002) considered a fractional integration smooth transition autoregression time series [FISTAR] model, and Caporale and Gil-Alana (2007) also estimated a model including fractional integration and a non-linear structure.

This paper contributes to the literature on the stochastic properties of inflation by adopting a long-memory model that incorporates non-linear deterministic terms in the form of Chebyshev polynomials. This framework is applied to the inflation rates of five African countries where non-linearities might be present as a result of wars and
conflicts. The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2 briefly describes the methodology, Section 3 presents the data and the main empirical results, and Section 4 offers some concluding remarks.

2. Methodology

We consider the following model:

\[ y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \theta_i P_{it}(t) + x_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, \]  

(1)

with \( m \) indicating the order of the Chebyshev polynomial, and \( x_t \) following an I(d) process of the form

\[ (1 - L)^d x_t = u_t, \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \ldots, \]  

(2)

with \( x_t = 0 \) for \( t \leq 0 \), and \( d > 0 \), where \( L \) is the lag-operator (\( Lx_t = x_{t-1} \)) and \( u_t \) is I(0).

The Chebyshev polynomials \( P_{i,T}(t) \) in (1) are defined as:

\[ P_{0,T}(t) = 1, \]

\[ P_{i,T}(t) = \sqrt{2} \cos\left(i \pi (t - 0.5)/T\right), \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, T; \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \]  

(3)

(see Hamming (1973) and Smyth (1998) for a detailed description of these polynomials). Bierens (1997) uses them in the context of unit root testing. According to Bierens (1997) and Tomasevic et al. (2009), it is possible to approximate highly non-linear trends with rather low degree polynomials. If \( m = 0 \) the model contains an intercept, if \( m = 1 \) it also includes a linear trend, and if \( m > 1 \) it becomes non-linear - the higher \( m \) is the less linear the approximated deterministic component becomes.

An issue that immediately arises here is how to determine the optimal value of \( m \). As argued in Cuestas and Gil-Alana (2012), if one combines (1) and (2) in a single equation, standard \( t \)-statistics will remain valid with the error term being I(0) by definition. The choice of \( m \) will then depend on the significance of the Chebyshev
coefficients. Note that the model combining (1) and (2) becomes linear and $d$ can be parametrically estimated or even tested as in Robinson (1994), Demetrescu, Kuzin and Hassler (2008) and others (see Cuestas and Gil-Alana, 2012).

3. Data and empirical results

The series examined are the monthly inflation rates, from January 2002 to December 2013, in Angola, Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia and South Africa (see Figure 1).

[Insert Figure 1 about here]

Table 1 displays the estimates of $d$ and the corresponding 95% non-rejection intervals in the model given by equations (1) and (2), for the cases of $m = 0, 1, 2$ and 3 and white noise errors. Very similar results were obtained under the assumption of (weakly, e.g., AR) autocorrelated errors. For $m \geq 2$ the model contains non-linear deterministic terms. This is the case for two of the countries examined, Angola and Lesotho, where all the estimated coefficients on the Chebyshev polynomials are statistically significant (see Table 2). For the remaining three countries (Botswana, Namibia and South Africa) the model with an intercept is sufficient to describe the deterministic part of the process.

[Insert Tables 1 and 2 about here]

Concerning the estimates of the differencing parameter, it can be seen that for the two cases of non-linear terms the unit root null hypothesis cannot be rejected; however this hypothesis is decisively rejected in favour of higher degrees of integration ($d > 1$) in the three countries with linear processes.
4. Conclusions

This paper proposes a model that combines fractional integration with non-linear deterministic terms in the form of Chebyshev polynomials for the analysis of inflation rates in five African countries (Angola, Botswana, Lesotho, Namibia and South Africa). The results provide evidence of non-linearities in the cases of Angola and Lesotho only. Moreover, the unit root null hypothesis cannot be rejected for the non-linear processes, while it is decisively rejected in favour of higher degrees of integration for the linear models.
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Figure 1: Original time series
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### Table 1: Estimates of \(d\) in a non-linear set-up based on Chebyshev polynomials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>(m = 0)</th>
<th>(m = 1)</th>
<th>(m = 2)</th>
<th>(m = 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANGOLA</td>
<td>1.122 (1.041, 1.212)</td>
<td>1.113 (1.045, 1.203)</td>
<td>1.072 (0.990, 1.172)</td>
<td><strong>0.978 (0.861, 1.109)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTSWANA</td>
<td>1.224 (1.101, 1.374)</td>
<td><strong>1.221 (1.101, 1.373)</strong></td>
<td>1.222 (1.110, 1.373)</td>
<td>1.221 (1.110, 1.355)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESOTHO</td>
<td>1.023 (0.928, 1.178)</td>
<td>1.029 (0.920, 1.167)</td>
<td>1.024 (0.921, 1.167)</td>
<td><strong>1.012 (0.903, 1.164)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMIBIA</td>
<td>1.164 (1.065, 1.272)</td>
<td><strong>1.169 (1.065, 1.273)</strong></td>
<td>1.169 (1.065, 1.275)</td>
<td>1.144 (1.042, 1.265)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH AFRICA</td>
<td>1.413 (1.298, 1.560)</td>
<td><strong>1.411 (1.295, 1.567)</strong></td>
<td>1.410 (1.296, 1.566)</td>
<td>1.397 (1.286, 1.497)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In bold, the significant cases at the 5% level.

### Table 2: Estimated coefficients in the selected models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>(\theta_0)</th>
<th>(\theta_1)</th>
<th>(\theta_2)</th>
<th>(\theta_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANGOLA</td>
<td>35.179 (2.065)</td>
<td>25.842 (2.553)</td>
<td>18.765 (3.582)</td>
<td>12.647 (3.575)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTSWANA</td>
<td>5.766 (7.751)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESOTHO</td>
<td>19.372 (2.290)</td>
<td>3.261 (1.993)</td>
<td>2.238 (2.503)</td>
<td>3.362 (2.142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAMIBIA</td>
<td>8.651 (13.235)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOUTH AFRICA</td>
<td>4.368 (9.207)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In parentheses, the corresponding t-values.